

## A STUDY OF MEMORY AND COMMON KNOWLEDGE: A COMPARISON BETWEEN INDOCHINA BOMBINGS AND THE TWIN TOWERS COLLAPSE PROGRESSIONS

Here I compare the Twin Towers collapse progression modes to the question of the most heavily bombed country on earth as each appears in the historic record. Strange comparison you may think? Not really.

If one were to ask the question, “what is the most heavily bombed country on earth?”, you’d think it wouldn’t be very difficult to find out. That it would be pretty common knowledge. After all, how can something so massive be hidden or remain a secret?

The first approach may be to just use ‘common sense’. The next may be to search the internet a bit to find out. Maybe check the Wikipedia entry on the subject. Pretty easy, no?

Strangely, these approaches will yield the wrong answer. The problem is that the person who does this will probably think they have the right answer. It will be very difficult to tell them otherwise.

But the truth is the only way to find out which country on earth was the most heavily bombed is to do your own independent research and remain skeptical of such a simple, ‘click of the mouse’ way to know without further fact-checking. And do not trust Wikipedia at face value. There is no other way.

For example, I know that it is impossible to answer the question correctly without using the Theater History of Operations (THOR) database.

<https://data.world/datamil/world-war-ii-thor-data/discuss/missing-data/p2pskxwj>

<https://www.af.mil/News/Article-Display/Article/466817/historic-airpower-database-now-online/>

The article is from 2013, so that tells me that nobody before 2013 could have possibly known what the most heavily bombed country on earth actually was. They had no access to the information.

### WHAT DOES THE DATA SHOW

Estimates:

Total ordnance dropped on Germany during WW2 (by U.S and RAF) 1.5 million tons

Total ordnance dropped on Indochina 7.5 million tons

Total ordnance dropped on Laos 2.3 million tons

Total ordnance dropped on Cambodia (using Ben Kiernan as source) 0.5 million tons

Indochina consisted of 4 countries (Laos, Cambodia, North Vietnam, South Vietnam). If these numbers are more or less accurate one can easily see that if Vietnam is taken as one country, it is by far the most heavily bombed country on earth (Not Laos as Wikipedia claims).

If North and South Vietnam are taken as two countries, they were the most heavily bombed and the second most heavily bombed countries on earth. It looks like Laos is actually number three. As for the single most bombed country on earth? It seems to be the one country the U.S was there to protect: South Vietnam.

One can see by this example this was not a simple question to answer. The answer probably wasn't what was expected. Very few people could know the answer. The Wikipedia answer was untrue and deceptive. One's own independent research was necessary because there is no easier way to know. The numbers I give could be wrong so they have to be fact-checked by others. The written records of the past 50 years show that very few people are aware of the true answer. (I am not aware the large majority of Vietnamese even know this.)

#### TWIN TOWERS COLLAPSE RECORDS COMPARISON

The previous example is practically identical with the case of the written records of the Twin Towers collapse progression modes in that:

- 1) It is not a simple question to answer
- 2) The answer probably isn't what was expected
- 3) Very few people can know the answer
- 4) The Wikipedia entry is wrong and deceptive
- 5) Independent research is necessary to answer
- 6) This type of research needs active review and fact-checking
- 7) The written records show very few people were or are aware of the true answer for the past 2 decades (and counting).

It is very important to understand that the Twin Towers were not 'generic' buildings. They were of a highly unique architecture and as a result they underwent a highly specific mode of collapse progression which are unique to these structures. There was nothing 'generic' about it.

The single most unique feature of the collapses is how the three building components (perimeter, core, flooring) moved in highly specific, distinct ways relative to each other. It was a very unique, specific type of collapse progression which was directly related to the unique structural designs.

All the global features witnessed were a direct result of this uniqueness.

I check the following sources from 2001 to 2021 to see how well these facts were understood:

Government and professional journal records

News archives

Archives of investigative news outlets

Court records

Congressional records

These records are examined comprehensively for evidence of awareness of a unique, distinct, specific and knowable Twin Towers collapse progression mode.

This is to be contrasted with the ways in which the Twin Tower collapse progression modes were described, drawn, conceived and understood over the last 2 decades within the same records

The results prove beyond doubt what people knew and when they knew it. I can find no evidence this knowledge existed. If it existed at all, it was most certainly not a knowledge which was commonly shared. It never emerged in print until around 2010 yet continues to remain unseen in all professional journals and media.

## WHY THE COMPARISON IS VALID

Nobody can argue that the world's heaviest bombings were small events. Keeping the facts secret for close to 50 years demonstrates the ability to control information on a massive scale. This ability clearly existed since at least the 1960s as seen in the 'secret' bombing of Laos.

Nobody can argue that the collapses of the Twin Towers were small events. Nobody can argue that the largest single feature of each collapse was not the collapse progressions. Collapse initiation events were subtle and smaller but the collapse progression processes were obviously more massive than anything else. They were huge and the collapses cannot possibly be understood without knowing something about them.

The bombing of Vietnam was huge. The collapses of each Twin Tower was huge. They were both the worlds largest of their kind. Everyone knows they happened.

But it is undeniable that people don't know how the Twin Towers fell if they know nothing about the highly specific, unique, mappable and knowable modes through which each tower fell.

Without individual, independent research it is effectively impossible to know any specifics of the unique modes through which the Twin Towers collapsed. Written records demonstrate this conclusively.

[Back to website](#)