


## Systems of information, information nets, and information black holes

The Twin Towers collapse modes are in an information black hole in all information systems in the U.S.. It is information that passes through all fact-checking nets unnoticed or misrepresented. What I mean by 'information black hole' will be explained.

Government investigative bodies (legislative, executive and judicial), academic and professional journals, journalism, and educational systems are the main sources of information on the Twin Towers collapse modes available to the public. These sources or systems of information overlap.

The general assumption in the U.S. is that these systems are active fact-checkers; as investigators, peer reviewers, and as systems of checks and balances. The assumption is that they use scientific reasoning and fact-check claims through investigating and reviewing one another. Any important information that falls into these systems is bound to be recognized and recorded somewhere.



The general assumption in U.S. society is that large pieces of information cannot fall through all these nets at the same time. Some level will catch and record the information. In a vibrant intellectual society with a free press and the peer review processes of many professional/academic journals and other publications, in addition to alternative news sources and independent investigative journalism, many will assume that it is not possible for important information on a large scale to pass by all these safety nets unrecorded and unnoticed. The existence of a large information black hole through all information systems would prove none of this is true when dealing with certain types of information.

What role did the following sources play in the preservation of historic fact in the case of the Twin Towers collapse modes?

Government agencies

Academic literature, both technical and nontechnical

American Society of Civil Engineer publications

'Mainstream' corporate news sources

Independent investigative journalism

Alternative news sources

[The 20 year recorded history](#) since the collapses proves they played very little if any role in preserving the historic record of collapse events. To the contrary, each of these information systems ultimately became defenders of the absence of a technical record of the collapse modes of the Twin Towers. They effectively acted in unison and all seemed to agree that it is important to continue keeping accurate records of the collapse modes away from their readers and viewers. There was hardly any variation among the different information systems in this respect.

In this sense the information field as a whole became collective defenders of a myth that replaced any accurate record of the collapse modes. Accurate mappings of the collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers are [linked here](#). There is no evidence the Twin Towers collapse modes were described correctly in any information system in the U.S. in the last 20 years.


Each of these systems of information can be seen as nets. This is a study of how important and large scale historic information can go right through the nets unnoticed. By doing so it bypasses all systems of information and is not recorded in any of them. Information that falls through all nets unnoticed can be said to be in a type of information 'black hole'. The information is invisible to all parties yet its effects can be felt, observed and recorded. This is what happened to the Twin Towers collapse modes. The Twin Towers collapse modes are in an information black hole in all information systems in the

U.S.. It is information that passes through all fact-checking nets. This is the true nature of the literature on the Twin Towers collapse modes and the true nature of the process of recording the technical history of the collapse modes as [this website](#) does.

Information that goes through the nets does not exist in the historic record. It is then seen as small or unimportant, the general assumption being that nothing of importance can slip through all the nets.

Important and central information that falls through all nets can be seen as existing in an information 'black hole'.

## An Information Black Hole



The information never shows up in any written record. It falls right through the meshes as if it is invisible.

## Twin Towers investigations as a symbol of science in the 21<sup>st</sup> century

The Twin Towers collapse modes are in an information black hole. The Twin Towers collapse investigations give us an opportunity to see how science actually works when applied to a complex historic event with an information black hole right at the center.

Since the collapse modes are the single most dominant feature of the collapses, the information black hole affects all other aspects of the Twin Towers collapses and any individual observer's understanding of what they actually saw when witnessing the collapses.

These events took place for each of the Twin Towers:

- 1) airplane collision
- 2) fires
- 3) collapse initiation
- 4) complete collapse progression

What we have witnessed is the making of a written history of these events in which event #4 is treated as an information black hole. In simple terms this means an accurate record of the event disappears from all information systems and a replacement appears instead. What reasons were given for treating it in this way? How was it done?

As shown at [this link](#), the only reason given was that a single published paper of about 15 pages has already proven all the NIST felt it needed to know about the collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers. The paper was considered by the NIST to be a sufficient substitute for any detailed knowledge of the collapse modes.

After this decision was made the written history of the collapses were to cover this:

- 1) Airplane collision
- 2) fires
- 3) collapse initiation
- 4) single academic paper about 15 pages long

Item #4 was replaced by a single academic paper about 15 pages long. This was how the Twin Towers collapse modes were put into an information black hole. One was substituted for the other in the written record.

It is very important to understand the Twin Towers were not 'generic' buildings. The Twin Towers collapse progression modes were reconstructed and mapped in detail in 2009 and 2010. The collective mappings are available at [this link](#). They were of a highly unique architecture and as a result they underwent highly specific modes of collapse progression which were unique to these structures. The

collapses were incredibly unique events with unique, distinct, recognizable features that would not have been observed in buildings of a different structural design. There was nothing 'generic' about it.

The single most unique feature of the collapses is how the three building components (perimeter, core, flooring) moved in highly specific, distinct ways relative to each other. It was a very unique, specific type of collapse progression which was directly related to the unique structural designs.

All the global features witnessed were a direct result of this uniqueness.

In reality the Twin Tower collapse progression modes were the opposite of unknowable or general in every way:

All 8 perimeter walls (4 on each building) were mappable  
The temporarily surviving cores of each building were mappable  
Collapse fronts down all building perimeters were mappable

The processes of collapse could then be understood to be highly organized, as all 3 key structural components were found to fall in well-ordered, highly predictable ways. Not surprisingly, the highly unique and distinct collapse progression modes were directly related to the unique structural designs of the Twin Towers.

The collapse progression modes were remarkably controlled processes due to the nature of how the collapse fronts propagated down the structures trapped within confining outer walls. The collapse progressions were also highly regulated processes, moving at a near constant 8 floors per second downward through the structures. This means a steady state acceleration near zero.

The qualities of strong confinement, terminal velocity, and zero steady state acceleration means that the Twin Towers collapse progression modes were effectively highly regulated, very controllable, and very predictable processes. This can be observed and understood if one knows what to look for.

But none of that exists in the following sequence:

- 1) Airplane collision
- 2) fires
- 3) collapse initiation
- 4) Bazant and Zhao article

This is how the NIST removed any mention of the specifics of the Twin Towers collapse progression modes in a report that claims, first and foremost to “explain how and why the buildings fell”.

They stripped all unique, distinctive, specific features of the Twin Towers collapse modes from their explanation of ‘how and why the buildings fell’.

How did the other information systems and information nets react to the NIST replacing the Twin Towers collapse progression investigation with a single academic paper about 15 pages long? How did they react to the NIST stripping their explanation of ‘how and why the buildings fell’ from any mention of the unique collapse progression modes of the Twin Towers?

According to the written history they either didn’t notice it happened or they justified the decision and questioned it no further. There is no evidence the information was ever picked up in journalism. It fell right through their fact-checking nets. It was not discussed in U.S. professional/academic journals. Few objections were registered in professional journals.

In the case of the North Tower the black hole extends further than the collapse modes. It also covers the collapse initiation process, so what happened to the North Tower on 9-11-01 is described by the NIST as this:

- 1) Airplane collision
- 2) fires
- 3) NIST description of North Tower collapse initiation movement:
  - a) tilt movement off by more than 800%
  - b) Described as having an artificially rigid upper portion
  - c) Large, large majority (10 out of 11) of visible and detectable initiation features ignored
- 4) black hole

In this case #3 and #4 are both in an information black hole for the North Tower so this becomes:

- 1) Airplane collision
- 2) fires
- 3) black hole
- 4) black hole

The actual collapse initiation motion was replaced by a wildly inaccurate description of building motion by the NIST. How item #3 was put in a black hole is shown next. All NIST descriptions of the collapse initiation motion of the North Tower in their final reports are reproduced below. This is done so the reader can see exactly how the NIST described the collapse initiation motion of the North Tower and what evidence they used to justify their description.

The NIST lists its key observations of the early movement of WTC1 and WTC2 within NCSTAR 1-6 and 1-6D. NCSTAR 1-6 is linked [here](#) and NCSTAR 1-6D is linked [here](#).

All descriptions of early movement of WTC1 within NCSTAR 1-6D are quoted below:

"First exterior sign of collapse was at floor 98. Rotation of at least 8 degrees to the south occurred before the building section began to fall vertically under gravity." -xliv

"The section of the building above the impact zone tilted to the south (observed at about 8 degrees) as column instability progressed rapidly from the south wall along the adjacent east and west walls (see figure E-11), resulting in increased gravity load on the core columns."- page liv

(fig E-11 is the same as fig 5-8 shown below)

"First exterior sign of collapse was at floor 98. Rotation of at least 8 degrees to the south occurred before the building section began to fall vertically under gravity." - p 312

" The section of the building above the impact zone began tilting to the south (observed at 8 degrees, table 5-2) as column instability progressed rapidly from the south wall along the adjacent east and west walls (see fig 5-8) resulting in increased gravity load on the core columns. The change in potential energy due to downward movement of building mass above the buckled columns exceeded the strain energy that could have been absorbed by the structure. Global collapse ensued." - p 314

Figure 5-8 is shown below:



Figure 5–8. Collapse initiation and tilting of WTC 1 (view from the northeast).


All references to the early movement of WTC1 during collapse initiation from NIST NCSTAR 1-6 are listed below:

"The WTC 1 building section above the impact and fire area tilted to the south as the structural collapse initiated, as shown in Fig. E-6. A tilt to the south of at least 8 degrees occurred before dust clouds obscured the view and the building section began to fall downward." -page liv

"The entire section of the building above the impact zone began tilting as a rigid block (all four faces, not only the bowed and buckled south face) to the south (at least about 8 degrees) as column instability progressed rapidly from the south wall along the adjacent east and west walls." - page lxviii

*Executive Summary*

---



**Figure E-11. WTC 1 probable collapse sequence (cont).**

"A tilt to the south of at least 8 degrees occurred before dust clouds obscured the view and the building section began to fall downwards." - page lxxv

"A tilt to the south of at least 8 degrees occurred before dust clouds obscured the view and the building section began to fall downwards." - page 155

"Rotation of the building section above the impact and fire zone to at least 8 degrees to the south occurred before the building section began to fall vertically." -page 156

"Rotation of at least 8 degrees to the south occurred before the building section began to fall vertically under gravity." -page 298

"The entire section of the building above the impact zone began tilting as a rigid block (all four faces, not only the bowed and buckled south face) to the south (at least 8 degrees) as column instability progressed rapidly from the south wall along the adjacent east and west walls" -page 300

"The section of the building above the impact zone began tilting to the south at least about 8 degrees as column instability progressed rapidly from the south wall along adjacent east and west walls, as shown in Fig. 9-13." - page 304



**Table 6–1. WTC 1 Timeline of Observed Structural and Fire Events.**


	Start Time	Floors	Face	Columns	Figures	Event Description
1	8:46:26	93-99	N	109-152	Fig. 6-1	WTC 1 was impacted by a Boeing 767 between Floors 93 to 99 and Columns 109 to 152. Fig. 6-1 (taken nearly an hour after impact) shows Columns 120 to 159.
2		94-96	S	329	Fig. 6-2	A perimeter wall panel face was knocked out by the aircraft nose or landing gear at the center of the south between Floors 94 to 96
3		92-95	N	130-151	Fig. 6-3	SFRM was knocked off the exterior sides of perimeter columns; the pattern of damage was irregular
4	9:25:28				Fig. 6-4	Fire was observed only on the west side of the south face (note debris under missing panel)
5	9:40		S	301-323	Fig. 6-5	No inward bowing of perimeter columns was visible
6	10:22:59	95-99	S	308-326+	Fig. 6-6	Inward bowing of the south perimeter wall was visible from Floor 95 to about Floor 99, with a maximum inward bowing of ~ 55 in. at Column 315 and Floor 97
7	10:28:18				Fig. 6-7 Fig. 6-8 Fig. 6-9	Pressure pulses of smoke were pushed out the west face at its north edge and center; Smoke and debris clouds were pushed out the north, east, west faces at Floor 98; Fire came out windows on the north, east, west, and south faces between Floors 92 to 98 and Floor 104
	10:28:20				Fig. 6-10 Fig. 6-11	WTC 1 began to collapse. The first exterior movement was at Floor 98. Rotation of the building section above the impact and fire zone to at least 8 degrees to the south occurred before the building section began to fall vertically.

This concludes the complete list of quotes of the NIST description of the North Tower collapse initiation motion from the final reports. There are a few more images the NIST used to support their claims which will be reproduced shortly.

The reader can easily see the NIST described the upper portion of the North Tower as tilting “at least 8 degrees” before falling about 15 times. They repeatedly wrote it tilted “rigidly” also.

Two professional engineers drew the diagrams below to describe the collapse initiation movement for the North Tower during internet forum discussions:





It is obvious that they simply read the NIST quotes on the North Tower and drew what the NIST wrote. Their drawings match the NIST descriptions of the same movement. There is at least 8 degrees of tilt in both illustrations and both 'blocks' are tilting 'rigidly'. This was their understanding of what the NIST wrote in their final reports.

This simple, cartoon-like description compared to the actual visual record

The movement of the structure during the initial column failure sequence was mapped and traced back to the earliest point of detectable movement from multiple angles in 2009 and 2010 by a small group of independent researchers. The mappings and reconstruction is available at [this link](#) and have been available to the public for over a decade. Features of the initial failure sequence can be understood as a rapid succession of 11 identifiable events occurring in the following order:

- 1) Deformations: Inward bowing of the south face
- 2) Inward bowing on the north face
- 3) Earliest detectable creep movement of the antenna and northwest corner
- 4) Appearance of ~87th fl S face ejections
- 5) Appearance of 95th fl W face ejection
- 6) Visible downward movement begins: Concave deformation of the roofline, antenna drops before north or west perimeter walls
- 7) Columns fail over tilt of less than 1 degree, appearance of 98th fl ejections and 105th floor ejections
- 8) Appearance of 77th fl W face ejections
- 9) Splitting of all perimeter walls: All visible upper parts fall out and over lower parts
- 10) Southward sliding of upper portion
- 11) Dis-integration of upper portion

Evidence for each of these claims is given alongside the mappings. What people see as the beginning of visible downward movement is marked in blue. The NIST description of the same collapse initiation motion of the North Tower is missing #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8, #9, #10, and #11.

They did notice #1 but there was nothing else in the sequence they noticed. This much more accurate and detailed reconstruction shows that the NIST claims of rigidly tilting at least 8 degrees before falling are pure fabrications. Tilting rigidly is a fabrication and tilting at least 8 degrees is another fabrication.

### VISUAL EVIDENCE CITED WITHIN THE NIST REPORTS TO SUPPORT THEIR CLAIMS

The following sequences of images from NIST NCSTAR 1-6 show the entirety of the visual evidence the NIST presents to support their claim that the building tilted at least 8 degrees as a rigid block as column failures propagated from south to north.

In the following sequence all columns had failed before the second and third photographs were taken even though the NIST misrepresents the failures as occurring during the sequence shown:




Figure 6-7. Expulsion of smoke and debris at WTC 1 Floor 98 on the east, north, and west faces.

Figure 6-7 shows how the NIST misrepresents the movement of the building as the columns originally failed. The earliest visible ejections actually emerge from the 95 floor along the west face. The northwest corner, the last group of columns to fail, had already failed by the time the second and third images were taken.

In this series of images and in each series to follow the NIST exaggerates the North Tower tilt angle by basically smearing out the column failure sequence far, far longer than is justified by any evidence. It is not clear that the NIST is using any systematic method at all to determine at which moment the last surviving columns on the northwest corner fail. The mappings and reconstructions linked earlier give a few precise techniques to determine the moment of failure of the northwest corner. Synchronized video then allows anyone to see the actual tilt motion during the column failure sequence. The NIST was incorrect by more than 800%.

Likewise, all columns had failed by the time the 2 photos below were taken:



Figure 6-9. Smoke expulsion at Floor 98 from north and east faces at collapse initiation.

Figure 6-9 which shows how the NIST misrepresents the movement of the building as the columns originally failed. The northwest corner, the last group of columns to fail, had clearly failed by the time both of these images were taken.

Once again, all columns had clearly failed by the time the second and third photographs were taken (the failure of the northwest corner of the building (on the right) is visible to the naked eye in these photos):



Figure 6-10. Rotation of WTC 1 building section above the aircraft impact zone toward the south as viewed from due north. Note that there is no tilt in the east or west directions.

Figure 6-10 which shows how the NIST misrepresents the movement of the building as the columns originally failed. The northwest corner, the last group of columns to fail, had clearly failed by the time the second and third images were taken.

Once again, all columns had completely failed by the time the second photo was taken:




Figure 6-8. Smoke expulsion at Floor 98 from north and west faces as collapse initiates.

Figure 6-8 shows how the NIST misrepresents the movement of the building as the columns originally failed. The earliest visible ejections actually emerge from the 95 floor along the west face and are quite visible in video taken from this same viewing angle. The northwest corner, the last group of columns to fail, had already failed by the time the second and third images were taken (visible to the naked eye). The entire upper section was moving downward in the second and third images as measurements of the upper northwest corner clearly show. At the time the second and third images were taken, there were forceful smoke expulsions from the 88th floor, south face, 10 stories below the claimed collapse initiation area along the 98th floor and from the 77th floor, west face, over 20 floors below the 98th floor smoke expulsions shown by the NIST within these images.

In the next case all columns had completely failed before all 3 photographs were taken:




Figure 6-11. WTC 1 tilt to the south of approximately 8 degrees was measured before smoke and debris obscured view. Note view is from west and tilt is directly south.

Figure 6-11 which shows how the NIST misrepresents the movement of the building as the columns originally failed. The northwest corner, the last group of columns to fail, had already failed by the time all 3 of these images were taken. The upper section was actually collectively sliding southward in all 3 of these images.

### **Comparison between the NIST and FEMA descriptions of WTC1 collapse initiation movement and behavior**

The FEMA Building Performance Study released in 2002 contained a much more accurate description of early motion of the North Tower than the NIST report did 3 years later. This is how FEMA described what they considered the failure mode which matched observables in their 2002 study (chapter 2), before the NIST took over the investigation:

"Review of videotape recordings of the collapse taken from various angles indicates that the transmission tower on top of the structure began to move downward and laterally slightly before movement was evident at the exterior wall. **This suggests that collapse began with one or more failures in the central core area of the building. This is consistent with the observations of debris patterns from the 91st floor, previously discussed. This is also supported by preliminary evaluation of the load carrying capacity of these columns, discussed in more detail in Section 2.2.2.2.** The core columns were not designed to resist wind loads and, therefore, had less reserve capacity than perimeter columns. As some exterior and core columns were damaged by the aircraft impact, the outrigger trusses at the top of the building shifted additional loads to the remaining core columns, further eroding the available factor of safety. This would have been particularly significant in the upper portion of the damaged building. In this region, the original design load for the core columns was less than at lower floors, and the column sections were relatively light. The increased stresses caused by the aircraft impact could easily have brought several of these columns close to their ultimate capacity, so that relatively little additional effects due to fire would have been required to initiate the collapse. Once movement began, the entire portion of the building above the area of impact fell in a unit, pushing a cushion of air below it. As this cushion of air pushed through the impact area, the fires were fed by new oxygen and pushed outward, creating the illusion of a secondary explosion."

(Bold is added)

This was the FEMA theory for the North Tower in 2002. They saw visual evidence that elements in the central core gave way first. This is in agreement with the much more detailed mappings made in 2009 shown earlier. By using superior mappings it can be verified by anyone who uses the tools currently available that FEMA described the initiation movements in 2002 much more accurately than the NIST did 3 years later in their final report.

The NIST reversed the earlier FEMA claim when they described the North Tower upper section as tilting at least 8 degrees before falling and by repeatedly treating the upper portion as 'rigid'. The NIST deliberately chose to disagree with and overrule the more accurate description by FEMA. They deliberately changed the direction of their investigation when they ignored the evidence given by FEMA of a core-led collapse and overruled them by claiming that the collapse initiation was perimeter-led instead.

To do this they had to move away from the evidence given by FEMA that the antenna moved downward before the rest of the building. That is why they repeatedly emphasized tilting "as a rigid block" for "at least 8 degrees" before falling. Descriptions of a "rigid block" hide early antenna motion. "Rigid" is the opposite of "deforming". The NIST is hiding the deformations already observed by FEMA and later mapped in detail by the independent researchers. Descriptions of a tilt

“at least 8 degrees” were written to emphasize signs of a perimeter-led collapse and to hide signs of a core-led collapse. The language was deliberately chosen to disagree with and overrule earlier FEMA observations.

## Large information black holes need a cover story

One can't simply make an accurate description of the Twin Towers collapse modes disappear without replacing it with something else.

If the information black hole is on a very big piece of information like the collapse modes of the Twin Towers, then there has to be something put in its place. In the case of the Twin Towers there are 2 large information black holes:

North Tower	South Tower
1) airplane collision	1) airplane collision
2) fires	2) fires
3) <b>black hole</b>	3) collapse initiation
4) <b>black hole</b>	4) <b>black hole</b>

These black holes have to be covered with something or the absence of these big pieces of information would be too obvious.

How item #3 for the North Tower had been covered over has just been described to the reader. The NIST overruled the earlier FEMA description (which was much more accurate and moving in the correct direction). They then fabricated the claim of rigid tilting. They then exaggerated the actual tilt angle over which all columns failed by more than 800% by misrepresenting the tilt and column failure timing in the series of pictures the reader just saw.

Item #4 for both towers was replaced by a single academic paper around 15 pages long. It was later replaced again (in 2007) by a cartoon-type 'block' description consisting of a 'crush down mode' followed by a 'crush up mode' by the same author.


In both cases the cover story was an overly simplified cartoon-type model. In both cases the term 'block' and 'rigid block' were used.

In the case of #3 a "rigid block" rotates "at least 8 degrees" before falling in the cartoon-type overly simplified description. In the case of #4 the intact "upper block" completely crushes the "lower block" before the "upper block" crushes upward from the bottom up. This is claimed to be completely proven in a single short published paper using differential equations of only one variable.

The earlier replacement for the Twin Towers collapse modes was BZ by the same author. It was also a single short published paper using differential equations of only one variable.

We can see that in the case of #3 and both replacements for #4 the cover stories were simple and of an almost of a child-like mentality. “Rigid blocks’ replace complex building movement for both #3 and #4. In both cover stories for #4 small papers with a few differential equations of only one variable replace the most famous, unique and distinctive skyscraper collapse modes any of us has ever witnessed on earth (two of them).

In both cover stories for #4 any need to look more carefully at the integrated visual record of the collapses is downplayed and sets of highly simplified differential equations of one variable replace it. In both cases Bazant’s logic is like this:



In both cases the one variable differential equations are said to lead to conclusions that we couldn't have known by looking at visual records of building collapses alone.

In the latter case literal belief in the equations led Bazant into a literal belief that the Twin Towers ‘crushed down’ before ‘crushing up’.

In both cases overly simple and cartoon-like models using a child-like reasoning process replace complex and multifaceted building movement in the historic record. In both cases the cartoon-like models are taken quite literally. In both cases a simple and highly deceptive mask is placed over the complex and nuanced reality captured in the visual record of the actual events.

In both cases the black hole was created through decisions by or through the NIST. In both cases a cover story was decided upon by or through the NIST. That is where both information black holes and cover stories originate.

## Science around large information black holes

How do you 'do science' on the North Tower around two large information black holes that you are not allowed to question?

You can't. The black holes cover so much information that is central to any basic scientific analysis of the Twin Towers collapses that the only 'science' that can be done with the leftover information is extremely limited.

How do you 'do science' on the Twin Towers collapses if the collapse modes are in an information black hole? You can't. Whatever efforts toward a 'science' of the Twin Towers collapse modes that actually took place since 2005 is very visible in the interactive graphic in [this link](#). There wasn't a single paper published in a professional/academic journal that described the collapse modes of the Twin Towers accurately. As the link shows, there was a series of debates where **both sides**, Bazant and 'truthers', misrepresented the collapse modes literally as 'blocks' together.

## Journalism around large information black holes

The field of journalism couldn't perceive the information black holes. 20 years later they cannot admit there ever was an information black hole. This is all recorded in the written records of the last 20 years and cannot be changed anymore.

How did reporters and investigative journalists write about the Twin Towers collapses when the collapse modes are in an information black hole?

How did reporters and investigative journalists write on the collapse of the North Tower with both the collapse modes and the collapse initiation movement in a black hole?

The answer is they didn't. They couldn't under those conditions. Journalists couldn't perceive the black hole, did not know that it existed, and do not know that it exists now. If told it exists they will think the whole notion is crazy. Why?

Because in their world-view nothing of any importance could slip through all the nets without being noticed.

Each of the sources will maintain their own validity as 'fact-checker'. They will not be able to admit that something so large can pass so easily through their 'net' for so long.



Changing ones world-view in light of superior evidence is a challenge in general. But after 20 years in the post 9/11 world, changing ones world-view regarding the collapse modes of the Twin Towers is much more challenging.

Their sense of self as active, valid checkers of facts in the post 9/11 world lies in the balance. If information black holes do exist at the center of the Twin Towers collapses then their entire conception of what they perceived as a 'real world' and 'real journalism' and 'real professional culture' will be thrown out of balance and into question as a result.

## **This website is proof that information black holes do exist at the heart of the Twin Towers collapses**

The existence of this website for over a decade is due to the death of independent investigative reporting on the Twin Towers collapse modes. This website couldn't exist as it does if Government and professional/academic publication fact-checking procedures actually worked. If the other information nets like journalism actually worked toward the Twin Towers collapse modes this website could not exist as it does.

The website is proof that the Twin Towers collapse modes are in an information black hole in all U.S. information systems in the post 9/11 world. This means, ironically, that the [actual collapse modes of the Twin Towers](#) are excluded from all post 9/11 information systems in the U.S. that have anything to do with writing the history of the collapses.

As mentioned on the home page, this website contains:

- The only visual reconstruction of the collapses of WTC1 and 2, identifying the collapse progression mechanisms of each tower.
- The only accurate, detailed mapping of the earliest movements of each building available. The detailed reconstruction of the moments leading up to and of collapse initiation of each building is used to fact-check claims made by the National Institute for Standards and Technology

(NIST) within their official reports on the collapses and claims made by other organizations and individuals.

- Detailed criticism of the official reports on WTC1, 2 and WTC7 by the NIST, showing that claims made within the reports on all 3 buildings directly contradict what can be verified through the visual record.
- The most accurate review of academic papers on the collapses available.
- Detailed critique and mappings of how professional/academic publications have misrepresented the collapse modes of the Twin Towers from 2001 to 2021
- An identification of the 3 original sources of all professional/academic misrepresentations of the Twin Tower collapse modes
- Detailed critique of how Wikipedia has misrepresented the collapse modes of the Twin Towers from 2004 to 2021

Each of these claims have been written in a way that can be directly cross-checked and verified by any active and capable reader. None of this could exist on this website if the fact-checking safety nets of government checks and balances, professional and academic peer review and investigative journalism actually functioned with respect to the Twin Towers collapses.

This website is a last level backdrop of a cascading intellectual failure on a massive scale: The failure of each of these nets and the information system as a whole to accurately record the collapse modes of the Twin Towers from 2001 to 2021.

[Back to Website](#)